

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/842,313	04/24/2001	Kevin D. Weller	P-11700 VISAP064	5667
2244 7550 03/21/2008 BEYER WEAVER LLP P.O. BOX 70250			EXAMINER	
			WORJLOH, JALATEE	
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/842.313 WELLER ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Jalatee Worlloh 3621 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 October 2007. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 7-21 and 32-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-21 and 32-40 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Application/Control Number: 09/842,313 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

 A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 30, 2007 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

 This Office Action is responsive to the amendment filed October 30, 2007. Claims 7-21 and 32-40 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 7-21 and 32-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 US Patent No. 5970475 to Barnes et al. ("Barnes") in view of O'Mahony et al. ("O'Mahony")
 "Electronic Payment Systems" (1997).
- 5. Claims 7-13, 16, 18-21, and 32-40:

Barnes, in at least Figures 1-4 and 9 as well as column 3, line 39 to column 4, line 4 as well as other related and applicable text discloses an online merchant/consumer purchasing system with

Art Unit: 3621

payment verification that includes customer password and account verification, servers, databases, enrollment, automated payments, and security procedures to effect electronic commerce. Barnes does not involve a trusted party for the purposes of authentication during a transaction. O'Mahony, however, in at least Chapter Four discusses in great detail three and four party payment systems wherein a trusted party verifies consumers and merchant s and authenticates financial transactions. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to combine Barnes' online purchasing and bill-paying system with O'Mahony's Electronic Payment Systems because it provides a user-friendly and secure environment for facilitation electronic commerce.

Art Unit: 3621

 Claims 14, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barnes/O'Mahony in view of Asay et al. (US 5,903,882 A).

Claims 14, 15, and 17:

Barnes/O'Mahony disclose the online purchasing and bill-paying system as shown above.

Barnes/O'Mahony do not disclose digital signatures and hashes. Asay, however, in at lest column 38, lines 33-44 does. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to combine Barnes' online purchasing and bill-paying system with use of digital signature technology because it would increase the security of the online transaction.

Conclusion

6. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114.
See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 09/842,313

Art Unit: 3621

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Page 5

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

final action.

/Jalatee Worjloh/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3621